

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
I. LEGAL STANDARD.....	3
II. THERE IS INSUFFICIENT EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT A DAMAGES VERDICT FOR RETALIATORY PERSONNEL ACTIONS UNDER § 448.102(1).....	4
A. Akre Is Not Entitled To Damages For Lost Wages.....	11
B. Akre Is Not Entitled To Damages For Lost Earning Capacity.....	11
C. Akre's Award For "Other Damages" Is Not Supported by the Evidence.....	14
III. AKRE FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT A "LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION" IS INVOLVED HERE.....	15
A. The FCC Policy Against Deliberate Distortion of the News Is Not a "Law, Rule, or Regulation" Within the Meaning of the Private Whistleblower Act.....	16
1. The FCC Policy Is Not an "Adopted" Rule under the Florida APA.....	16
2. The FCC Policy Is Not a "Rule" At All under the Federal APA.....	21
3. The FCC Advises That Its Prohibition Against News Distortion Is a Policy, Not a Rule.....	22
4. "Orders" in which the FCC Has Discussed Its News Distortion Policy Are Not "Rules.".....	23
B. The "Public Interest, Convenience, and Necessity" Standard of § 309(a) of the Communications Act Cannot Be "Violated" by WTVT or Any Other Broadcast Licensee.....	25
IV. AKRE FAILED TO ADDUCE EVIDENCE THAT SHE COULD HAVE HAD A GOOD-FAITH, OBJECTIVELY REASONABLE BELIEF THAT WTVT'S ACTIONS CONSTITUTED A PROHIBITED NEWS DISTORTION.....	29
A. The News Distortion Policy.....	30

1.	What the News Distortion Policy Is.....	30
2.	What the News Distortion Policy Is Not.....	33
B.	Akre Failed To Show Any Evidence of Prohibited News Distortion.....	39
1.	Milk Has Not Changed.....	41
2.	Knowledgeable People Have No Concerns/Cancer Experts See No Health Problems	42
3.	The FDA Reviewed all Concerns Prior to Approval	42
4.	Product Endorsements.....	43
5.	Voluntary Moratorium	44
6.	Most Tested Product In History	44
C.	Akre Had No Objectively Reasonable Belief That WTVT Had Engaged in Any Kind of News Distortion	52
V.	AKRE FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT WTVT’S EDITING OF PLAINTIFFS’ BGH NEWS REPORT WAS AN ACTUAL VIOLATION OF A LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION	56
VI.	THE FCC’S EXCLUSIVE AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE WHETHER BROADCAST LICENSEES ARE ACTING IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST PREEMPTS, OR OTHERWISE PRECLUDES DISPOSITION OF, AKRE’S PRIVATE WHISTLEBLOWER CLAIM	58
A.	Preemption.....	58
B.	Primary Jurisdiction	60
	CONCLUSION	62